INTRODUCTION

Journal of Advances in Internal Medicine (JAIM) is a biannual, peer-reviewed, open-access, international medical journal [ISSN 2091-1432 (Print) and 2091-1440 (Online at www.aimjournal.org). Journal of Advances in Internal Medicine (JAIM) is a peer reviewed open access biomedical international journal and publishes the original research and critical reviews dealing with all disciplines of Internal Medicine. It is the official journal of the Society of Internal Medicine of Nepal (SIMON) and is published twice a year (January and July). Each issue of JAIM publishes Original Articles, Review Articles, Medical Images, Brief Reports, Editorials and Letters to the Editor. JAIM stands as a forum from which the researches conducted in various disciplines in medicine, the reviews done, novel and unique cases which we encounter during our professional career can be made available to our readers.

The publication of a manuscript comes from respected network of knowledge. It reflects the quality work of the authors and the institutions that support them. Peer-reviewed articles support and embody the scientific method. This leads to the importance of ethical responsibility that follows on to any author, editor, reviewer and owner of the journal. Based on a number of industry organizations, notably the Committee on Publication Ethics (COPE), the World Association of Medical Editors (WAME), the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (ICMJE), Consolidated Standards Of Reporting Trials (Consort) and Elsevier Publication a comprehensive policy on publication ethics is summarized here, which addresses all the major areas JAIM considers important. Policies are given in order of manuscript supervisions.

ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS

Ethics committee approval from respective health institution is obligatory for all manuscript submission. Following information should be presented. Manuscripts reporting data obtained from research conducted in human subjects must include a statement of assurance in the Methods section of the manuscript that

1. Informed consent was obtained from each patient and
2. The study protocol conforms to the ethical guidelines of the 1975 Declaration of Helsinki as reflected in a priori approval by the institution's human research committee.

Ethics committee approval statement (IRC approval) is compulsory at the time of manuscript submission.

JAIM does not have any policy for accepting/considering manuscripts reporting experiments using animals.

PATIENT CONSENT

Studies on patients or volunteers require ethics committee approval and informed consent, which should be documented in submitted manuscript. Patients' right must be respected and hence, identifying information, including patients? Images, names, initials, or hospital numbers, should not be included in videos, recordings, written descriptions, photographs, and pedigrees unless the information is essential for scientific purposes and you have obtained written informed consent for publication in print and electronic form from the patient (or parent, guardian or next of kin where applicable).

If such consent is made subject to any conditions, JAIM must be made aware of all such conditions. Written consents must be provided to JAIM on request.

Even where consent has been given, identifying details should be omitted if they are not essential. If identifying characteristics are altered to protect anonymity, such as in genetic pedigrees, authors should provide assurance that alterations do not distort scientific meaning and editors should so note.

If such consent has not been obtained, personal details of patients included in any part of the paper and in any supplementary materials (including all illustrations and videos) must be removed before submission.

AUTHORSHIP CRITERIA

An "author" generally considered as someone who has made substantive intellectual contributions to a published study, and biomedical authorship continues to have important academic, social, and financial implications.

Authors should meet following conditions

1. Authorship credit should be based on
   • Substantial contributions to conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data;
   • Drafting the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content; and
   • Final approval of the version to be published

2. When a large, multicentric group has conducted the work, the group should identify the individuals who accept direct responsibility for the manuscript. These individuals should fully meet the criteria for authorship defined below, and editors will ask these individuals to complete journal-specific author and conflict-of-interest disclosure forms. When submitting a manuscript authored by a group, the corresponding author should clearly indicate the preferred citation and identify all individual authors as well as the group name.
To qualify for authorship, one must be available for each of the 3 categories of contributions listed below. To have made substantial contributions to the intellectual content of the paper as described above.

1. (At least 1 of the 3 below)
   • Conception and design
   • Acquisition of data
   • Analysis and interpretation of data

2. (At least 1 of 2 below)
   • Drafting of the manuscript
   • Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content

3. (At least 1 below)
   • Statistical analysis
   • Obtaining funding
   • Administrative, technical, or material support
   • Supervision

JAIM appeals those that don’t meet the authorship-defined criteria, to be mentioned in Acknowledgement section of the manuscript. JAIM discourages “honorary” authorship and should also try to ensure that all those who qualify as authors are listed.

**CORRESPONDING AUTHOR**
The corresponding author should ensure that all appropriate coauthors and no inappropriate coauthors are included on the paper, and that all coauthors have seen and approved the final version of the paper and have agreed to its submission for publication.

**DISCLOSURE AND CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**
Submission of manuscript also entails author/s disclose all their competing interests, including specific financial interests and relationships and affiliations relevant to the subject matter or materials discussed in the manuscript. These are also to be disclosed in the Acknowledgment section of the manuscript.

All authors should disclose in their manuscript any financial or other substantive conflict of interest that might be construed to influence the results or interpretation of their manuscript. All sources of financial support for the project should be disclosed.

Examples of potential conflicts of interest, which should be disclosed, include employment, consultancy, stock ownership, honoraria, paid expert testimony, patent applications/registrations, and grants or other funding. Potential conflicts of interest should be disclosed at the earliest stage possible.

--

**DUTIES OF AUTHORS**

**Reporting standards**
An accurate account of the implemented work and results should be presented, along with an objective discussion of its significance. Underlying data should be represented accurately in the paper. A paper should contain sufficient detail and references to permit others to replicate the work. Fraudulent or knowingly inaccurate statements constitute unethical behavior and are unacceptable.

Review and professional publication articles should also be accurate and objective, and editorial 'opinion' works should be clearly identified as such.

**Data access and retention**
Authors may be asked to provide the raw data in connection with a paper for editorial review, and should be prepared to provide public access to such data, if practicable, and should in any event be prepared to retain such data for a reasonable time after publication.

**Originality and plagiarism**
The authors should ensure that they have written entirely original works, and if the authors have used the work and/or words of others, that this has been appropriately cited or quoted. Plagiarism in all its forms constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable. For more information, please refer to Section 11 of this document.

**Multiple, redundant or concurrent publication**
An author should not in general publish manuscripts describing essentially the same research in more than one journal or primary publication. Submitting the same manuscript to more than one journal concurrently constitutes unethical publishing behaviour and is unacceptable.

**Acknowledgement of sources**
Proper acknowledgment of the work of others must always be given. Authors should cite publications that have been influential in determining the nature of the reported work. Information obtained privately, as in conversation, correspondence, or discussion with third parties, must not be used or reported without explicit, written permission from the source. Information obtained in the course of confidential services, such as refereeing manuscripts or grant applications, must not be used without the explicit written permission of the author of the work involved in these services.

**Fundamental errors in published works**
When an author discovers a significant error or inaccuracy in his/her own published work, it is the author’s obligation to promptly notify the journal editor or publisher and cooperate with the editor to retract or correct the paper. If the editor or the publisher learns from a third party that a published work contains a significant error, it is the obligation of the author to promptly retract or correct the paper or provide evidence to the editor of the correctness of the original paper.
COPYRIGHT TRANSFER

Submission of an original manuscript to the Journal will be taken to mean that it represents original work not previously published, that it is not being considered elsewhere for publication, and that the author is willing to assign copyright to the Journal as per a contract that will be sent to the author just prior to publication. If accepted for publication, it will be published online and in print and it will not be published elsewhere in the same form, for commercial purposes, in any language, without the consent of the publisher.

Publication of scholarly research is meant to disseminate knowledge and, in a not-for-profit regime, benefits neither publisher nor author financially. Authors who publish in the Journal of Advances in Internal Medicine agree to transfer their rights to JAIM. JAIM will then release their articles under the Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works 3.0 International License. This license allows anyone to copy and distribute the article for non-commercial purposes provided that appropriate attribution is given. For details of the rights an author grants users of their work, please see the license summary at following web page - http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/

What rights do I retain as a journal author?

1. The right to make copies (print or electronic) of the journal article for your own personal use, including for your own classroom teaching use
2. The right to make copies and distribute copies of the journal article (including via e-mail) to research colleagues, for personal use by such colleagues for scholarly purposes
3. The right to post a preprinted version of the journal article on Internet websites including electronic preprinted servers, and to retain indefinitely such version on such servers or sites for scholarly purposes

Why does JAIM request transfer of copyright, before releasing into Creative Common License?

The research community needs certainty with respect to the validity of scientific papers, which is normally obtained through the editing and peer review processes. The scientific record must be clear and unambiguous. JAIM believes that, by obtaining copyright transfer, it will always be clear to researchers that when they access an JAIM site to review a paper, they are reading a final version of the paper which has been edited, peer-reviewed and accepted for publication in this appropriate journal.

EDITORS

With authority comes responsibilities, and JAIM views this as an opportunity for self-help and development of an ecosystem, between our valued authors, editors and reviewers. JAIM puts forward following policy guidelines, for Editors.

DUTIES OF EDITORS

Fair play
An editor should evaluate manuscripts for their intellectual content without regard to race, gender, sexual orientation, religious belief, ethnic origin, citizenship, or political philosophy of the authors.

Confidentiality
The editor and any editorial staff must not disclose any information about a submitted manuscript to anyone other than the corresponding author, reviewers, potential reviewers, other editorial advisers, and the publisher, as appropriate.

Publication decisions
The editor of a peer-reviewed journal is responsible for deciding which of the articles submitted to the journal should be published. The validation of the work in question and its importance to researchers and readers must always drive such decisions. The editor may be guided by the policies of the journal’s editorial board and constrained by such legal requirements as shall then be in force regarding libel, copyright infringement and plagiarism. The editor may confer with other editors or reviewers in making this decision.

Involvement and cooperation in investigations
An editor should take reasonably responsive measures when ethical complaints have been presented concerning a submitted manuscript or published paper, in conjunction with the publisher (or society). Such measures will generally include contacting the author of the manuscript or paper and giving due consideration of the respective complaint or claims made, but may also include further communications to the relevant institutions and research
bodies, and if the complaint is upheld, the publication of a correction, retraction, expression of concern, or other note, as may be relevant. Every reported act of unethical publishing behaviour must be looked into, even if it is discovered years after publication.

**Disclosure and conflicts of interest**

- Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in an editor’s own research without the express written consent of the author.
- Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage.
- Editors should recuse themselves (i.e. should ask a coeditor, associate editor or other member of the editorial board instead to review and consider) from considering manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or (possibly) institutions connected to the papers.
- Editors should require all contributors to disclose relevant competing interests and publish corrections if competing interests are revealed after publication. If needed, other appropriate action should be taken, such as the publication of a retraction or expression of concern.
- Non-peer reviewed sections of their journal should be clearly identified.

**EDITORIAL INDEPENDENCE**

The JAIM adopts the World Association of Medical Editors’ definition of editorial freedom. According to this definition, editorial freedom, or independence, is the concept that editors-in-chief have full authority over the editorial content of their journal and the timing of publication of that content. Journal owners shall not interfere in the evaluation, selection, or editing of individual articles either directly or by creating an environment that strongly influences decisions. Journal owners should not require editors to publish supplements as part of their contractual agreements. Editors should base decisions on the validity of the work and its importance to the journal’s readers, not on the commercial success of the journal. Editors at JAIM will be free to express critical but responsible views about all aspects of medicine without fear of retribution, even if these views conflict with the commercial goals of the publisher.

**PEER REVIEW**

Peer reviewers are experts chosen by editors to provide written assessment of the strengths and weaknesses of written research, with the aim of improving the reporting of research and identifying the most appropriate and highest quality material for the journal. Reviews will be expected to be professional, honest, courteous, prompt, and constructive. The desired major elements of a high-quality review should be as follows:

**DUTIES OF REVIEWERS**

**Promptness**
Any selected referee who feels unqualified to review the research reported in a manuscript or knows that its prompt review will be impossible should notify the editor and excuse himself from the review process.

**Confidentiality**
Any manuscripts received for review must be treated as confidential documents. They must not be shown to or discussed with others except as authorized by the editor.

**Disclosure and conflict of interest**
Unpublished materials disclosed in a submitted manuscript must not be used in a reviewer’s own research without the express written consent of the author. Privileged information or ideas obtained through peer review must be kept confidential and not used for personal advantage. Reviewers should not consider manuscripts in which they have conflicts of interest resulting from competitive, collaborative, or other relationships or connections with any of the authors, companies, or institutions connected to the papers.

**Standards of objectivity**
Reviews should be conducted objectively. Personal criticism of the author is inappropriate. Referees should express their views clearly with supporting arguments.

**Contribution to editorial decisions**
Peer review assists the editor in making editorial decisions and through the editorial communications with the author may also assist the author in improving the paper. Peer review is an essential component of formal scholarly communication, and lies at the heart of the scientific method. JAIM shares the view of many that all scholars who wish to contribute to publications have an obligation to do a fair share of reviewing.

**Acknowledgement of sources**
Reviewers should identify relevant published work that has not been cited by the authors. Any statement that an observation, derivation, or argument had been previously reported should be accompanied by the relevant citation. A reviewer should also call to the editor’s attention any substantial similarity or overlap between the manuscript under consideration and any other published paper of which they have personal knowledge.
**PLAGIARISM**

Plagiarism is the use of others’ published and unpublished ideas or words (or other intellectual property) without attribution or permission, and presenting them as new and original rather than derived from an existing source. This applies whether the ideas or words are taken from abstracts, research grant applications, Institutional Review Board applications, or unpublished or published manuscripts in any publication format (print or electronic).

JAIM defines plagiarism as

Whenever an author uses another person’s exact words, they must be placed in quotation marks and a citation must be given. The reader of an article in JAIM must know which words are the author’s and which belong to someone else. Even documents in the public domain, such as government documents, must be attributed to their source.

**Self-plagiarism**

The author’s copying of her or his own previously published material: duplicate publication or “self-plagiarism.” If an author has published an article in Journal A, she or he may not send the same article with a few minor adjustments to Journal B. Nor may she or he take verbatim portions of the first article without quotation marks for use in a second article. Each publication should contain fresh writing, even if there is nothing new to report on the topic.

**Inadequate acknowledgement of data or ideas**

Most writers rely on the ideas and data of others, but doing so without naming the source is a form of plagiarism.

**Copyright infringement**

Occurs when an author copies (with or without attribution) significant portions of a published work, including tables and figures, without having obtained the permission of the person or publisher holding the copyright. When this plagiarized “writing” is published, the new publisher is guilty of violating the copyright held by the original publisher.

**Excessive or poor rephrasing**

An author may believe that juggling the words of a copied-and-pasted sentence from another article is adequate. It is not. Also, it is not acceptable for an author’s work to be made up largely of paraphrased sentences from other published material. And the ordering of information presented in an article must be original and not too closely follow another published work.

**POLICY FOR MISCONDUCT**

JAIM policy on plagiarism is shaped by two desires: to inform authors of acceptable writing practices and to set a very high standard for the publication of peer-reviewed articles.

1. When plagiarism is detected, by either peer reviewers or staff editors, before or after acceptance, during editing, or at any time before publication, JAIM staff will alert the author, asking her or him to rewrite or quote exactly and to cite the original source.
2. If the plagiarism is extensive—that is, if at least 25% of the original submission is plagiarized—the article may be rejected and the author’s employer notified of the violation.
3. If plagiarism is detected after publication, the editors will notify readers of the infraction through an editor’s note in the journal, and the author’s employer may be notified of the breach.
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Author Guidelines

Types of articles
In each issue, we publish articles under certain types/sections. The types of articles we publish are as follows:

- Editorials
- Original Articles
- Original Articles - Audits
- Brief / Case Reports
- Review articles
- Medical Images
- Letters to the Editor

Beside the regular types of articles we also sometimes publish articles under categories like Invited Articles (See below for details of each type), Proceedings/Declaration of Conferences/Congress, Updates, Supplements, etc. The types are determined by the title, aim(s) and objective(s), and most importantly the content of the manuscript. We also require that the author clearly specifies the type of article s/he is submitting. However, the final decision under which category the article is published rests on the decision of the editorial board. In such case, the author will have the right to withdraw the article if s/he chooses, but must do so within the specified time.

Number of Authors
Editors should not arbitrarily limit the number of authors. There are legitimate reasons for multiple authors in some kinds of research, such as multi-center, randomized controlled trials. In these situations, a subset of authors may be listed with the title, with the notation that they have prepared the manuscript on behalf of all contributors, who are then listed in an appendix to the published article. Alternatively, a “corporate” author (e.g., a “Group” name) representing all authors in a named study may be listed, as long as one investigator takes responsibility for the work as a whole. In either case, all individuals listed as authors should meet criteria for authorship whether or not they are listed explicitly on the byline. If editors believe the number of authors is unusually large, relative to the scope and complexity of the work, they can ask for a detailed description of each author’s contributions to the work. If some do not meet criteria for authorship, editors can require that their names be removed as a condition of publication.

Order of Authorship
The authors themselves should decide the order in which authors are listed in an article. No one else knows as well as they do their respective contributions and the agreements they have made among themselves. Many different criteria are used to decide order of authorship. Among these are relative contributions to the work and, in situations where all authors have contributed equally, alphabetical or random order. Readers cannot know, and should not assume, the meaning of order of authorship unless the approach to assigning order has been described by the authors. Authors may want to include with their manuscript a description of how order was decided. If so, editors should welcome this information and publish it with the manuscript.

Authorship Disputes
Disputes about authorship are best settled at the local level, before journals review the manuscript. However, at their discretion editors may become involved in resolving authorship disputes. Changes in authorship at any stage of manuscript review, revision, or acceptance should be accompanied by a written request and explanation from all of the original authors.

Contributors Listed in Acknowledgments
All contributors who do not meet the criteria for authorship should be listed in an acknowledgments section. Examples of those who might be acknowledged include a person who provided purely technical help, writing assistance, or a department chairperson who provided only general support. Editors should ask corresponding authors to declare whether they had assistance with study design, data collection, data analysis, or manuscript preparation. If such assistance was available, the authors should disclose the identity of the individuals who provided this assistance and the entity that supported it in the published article. Financial and material support should also be acknowledged.

Groups of persons who have contributed materially to the paper but whose contributions do not justify authorship may be listed under such headings as “clinical investigators” or “participating investigators,” and their function or contribution should be described—for example, “served as scientific advisors,” “critically reviewed the study proposal,” “collected data,” or “provided and cared for study patients.” Because readers may infer their endorsement of the data and conclusions, these persons must give written permission to be acknowledged.
Manuscript processing

Manuscripts are processed as per standard procedure mentioned in JAIM policy for manuscript processing. In case of any confusion, author can contact JAIM at editor@aimjournal.org or info@aimjournal.org

Manuscript submission

Authors may submit manuscripts via email in the following address: editor@aimjournal.org. All manuscripts must be addressed to the Chief Editor, JAIM.

Paper Presentation and Format

JAIM has following outlines for paper presentation and formats.

1. Use double spacing throughout
2. Pages should have margins at least 25 mm and be numbered
3. Maintain the sequence title page, abstract, key words, text, acknowledgements, references and legends.
4. Text should be presented as per the nature of paper
5. The Cover page should carry the title, a short running title, total words count on abstract, total word count of manuscript, information of any disclaimers or funding bodies and the corresponding author’s full names, qualifications, affiliations, departments, email and addresses of institute affiliated (street, city, country).
6. Authorship page should carry in sequence information on primary author, corresponding author, and other authors, with authors’ full names, highest academic qualifications, affiliations, departments, email and addresses of institute affiliated (street, city, country). Also mention, authorship contribution of each author and their signatures.
7. Declaration page must be scanned and sent with signature of only one author - corresponding author or primary author.
8. Include permission to reproduce previously published material or to use illustrations that may identify participants

Use of Language

1. Uniformity in Language is required, with preference to American English
2. There should be no abbreviation in Abstract
3. Abbreviation spelt out in full for the first time
4. Avoid repetition of same words and waste words
5. Do not use ‘&’ and ‘@’ in the text
6. Running title provided should not more than 50 characters
7. Format the manuscript in a single column
8. Do not use any special typeface for emphasis

Use of Numbers

1. Numbers less than 10 should be written in words.
2. Numbers 10 or more should be written in numbers.
3. Words not numbers begin a sentence.
4. Be consistent in lists of numbers.
5. Numbers less than 1 begin with a zero.
6. Do not use a space between a number and its percent sign.
7. Use one space between a number and its unit.
8. Report percentages to only one decimal place if the sample size is larger than 100.
9. Do not use decimal places if the sample size is less than 100.
10. Do not use percentages if the sample size is less than 20.
11. Do not imply greater precision than your measurement instrument.
12. For ranges use “to” but not “-” to avoid confusion with a minus sign and use the same number of decimal places as the summary statistic.
13. Rules for data numbers do not apply to citations to the literature
14. Us the metric system throughout; use of appropriate SI Units is encouraged. If using other, more commonly used units, give the SI equivalent in parenthesis.

USE OF TABLES, FIGURES AND IMAGES

1. Tables, Figure and Images number in Arabic letters (no Romans)
2. Title/legends provided in no more than 40 words
3. For borrowed materials – credit note must be provided in the figure/table/image itself.
4. Keep the table/figures simple and uncluttered as possible
5. Standard abbreviation of units of measurement should be added in parentheses

Use of Tables

Rule of thumb: Use tables to present data that is detailed and that is important

6. Avoid tables created with the tab key, pictures, and embedded objects
7. Fancy borders, shading, 3d effects, multiple grids are both distracting and unnecessary
8. Prefer grey shades of tables and figures
9. Scientific table have few horizontal lines and no vertical lines. Usually only three horizontal lines (above and below the column headings, below the table)
10. Tables should be formatted so that they have to be read horizontally (left to right) – the natural reading style
Use of Figures

Rule of thumb: Use figures to - Show trends in data (as graphs)
11. Do not use Pie charts, 3d bar diagrams, as Figures
12. Figures should be simple to interpret, uncluttered, and free of extra lines, text, dimensions and other gimmicks.
13. Prefer common data-presentation formats in figures: Column charts/bar charts; Line charts; Scatter plots

Use of Images/photographs
14. Do not create math equations or tables as pictures
15. For Images and photographs, use TIFF or a high resolution JPEG.
16. Figures necessitate good quality – 300dpi with minimum resolution of 800x600 pixel

Relating to tables and figures in text
17. Refer to all the tables/figures in the text
18. Point out the relevant part(s) of a table/figure when referring to it
19. Do not restate all the information from tables/figures in the text of the paper
20. Tables/figures should not be used to highlight what has already been said in the paper

Permissions
Written permission to reproduce borrowed material (illustrations, tables and photographs) must be obtained. Authors must ensure that appropriate permission has been obtained for the publication of identifiable clinical photographs. Borrowed and previously published material should be acknowledged in the captions in this style: ‘Reproduced by kind permission of . . . (publishers) . . . from . . . (reference).’ It is the responsibility of the author to obtain all such permissions from the original publishers and authors, and to submit them with the manuscript.

Drug names
Generic drug names should be used.

References
Authors are instructed to follow Vancouver system for citing scientific literature. Authors can get a comprehensive explanation of the system with practical examples in the following link: http://www.lib.monash.edu.au/tutorials/citing/vancouver.html or JAIM website. Authors must also note that JAIM follows following variation in Vancouver style.

- Superscripts must be used rather than brackets.
- Numbers (citations) should be inserted before colons and semi-colons. (to the left)
- Numbers (citations) should be inserted after commas and full stops. (to the right)
- It is important that the punctuation and form is consistently applied to the whole document.

Maximum number of references
- Original Article - 60
- Review Article - 150
- Case Note - 25
- Editorial - 20
- List first three authors followed by et al. for all references

Abbreviations
These are commonly used abbreviations to write in reference list
- c. = circa (about, approximately) ed. = edition
- fig; figs = figure(s) p. = page(s)
- pt. pts. = part(s) Suppl. = Supplement
- ch. = Chapter et al. = and others
- ill ills = illustrator(s) para paras = paragraph(s)
- rev = revised

For more information refer to JAIM Reference/Citation section

Guidelines on individual article types

Editorial
This is written in each issue by the editor or members of editorial board and is not open for external authors unless invited.

Original Articles
We publish all types of research articles, i.e. descriptive, analytical, and experimental. However, we believe that some descriptive studies fall under audit section more than as an original research article. Such articles which do not contribute substantially to existing knowledge or to new concepts, will be placed under AUDIT section of the journal.

Original Articles should have following headings in its manuscript:
- Title
- Abstract and Keywords
- Introduction
- Methods
- Results
- Discussion
- Conclusion
- Acknowledgement
- References
Original Article

Title
- Complete title of the article
- Provide also Running title – not more than 50 characters
- Be short, accurate, and unambiguous giving your paper a distinct personality
- Begin with the subject of the study
- Avoid excessive adjectives and noun strings

Abstract
The abstract should contain the essence of the whole paper and should stand-alone. Be clear and concise and avoid unnecessary detail.
- Word limit – 250 words
- No abbreviation to be used in abstract
- Structured abstract - into following sub groups
  - Background and Aims
  - Methods (study type/design, sample number, time and place)
  - Results (include most important results only)
  - Conclusion

Keywords
- Keywords – 3-5 words, seperated by semicolons
- Use Keywords from MeSH index – website http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh

Body of Original Article (excluding references)
- maximum of 3000 words
- maximum of 5 tables/figures

Methods
Basically, it should include three questions: How was the study designed? How was the study carried out? and How was the data analysed?

Mention following, in order of their appearance, and writing in past tense or passive verb
I. Study type and study design
II. Place and duration of study
III. Sample size and Sampling method
IV. Methods of data collection
V. Ethical Approval and Patient consent
VI. Inclusion and exclusion criteria
VII. Protocols followed (if any)
VIII. Statistical analysis and software used

You should give precise details of the questionnaires you used and how they were developed, validated, and tested for repeatability. If JAIM questions, you should be able to provide the questionnaire.

When the sample size is smaller than 40, the results are rarely believable, the summary estimates lack of precision, standard statistical methods may be inappropriate, and the generalizability of the results will be questionable. It is always important to include details of your sample size calculations.

For comparison. You must also describe the methods of randomization, allocation concealment and blinding of the research staff and the participants to study group allocation. You must also describe any procedures that you used to maximize or measure compliance with the interventions. If a drug is being tested, then the generic name, the manufacturer, the doses used and any other information should be included.

Results
You should use an interesting sequence of text, tables, and figures to answer the study questions and to tell the story without diversions. Remember that results and data are not the same thing. You do not need to repeat numbers in the text that are already presented in a table or a figure.
- It is essential that you are consistent in the use of units in your reporting so that readers can make valid comparisons between and within groups. JAIM require you to use Système Internationale (SI) units
- Clearly present relevant data, and avoid data redundancy
- Only significant results must be shown under this heading
- Use a mixture of text, tables, and figures
- Avoid using percentages unless the group have more than 100 subjects
- When condensing results give the number of subjects, the range of results, the central tendency (mean± SD), and the spread (confidence interval for the mean)
- If you have done an analysis of variance give the estimates with their degrees of freedom and p values

Introduction
- Introductions should be short and arresting and tell the reader why you undertook the study
- Divide the Introduction into three parts
  a. The first paragraph should be a very short summary of the exiting knowledge of your research area.
  b. This should lead directly into the second paragraph that summarizes what other people have done in this field, what limitations have been encountered with work to date, and what questions still need to be answered.
  c. This, in turn, will lead to the last paragraph, which should clearly state what you did and why.
- Do not write conclusion in this section
- Prepare tables and figures according to the instructions mentioned above
- Tables and illustrations/ graphs/ charts should not represent the same results. Use the space below the legend to show some important findings.
- Write all your result text under one section referring to appropriate legends.

**Template for Result, in order of their appearance**

I. Describe study sample. Who did you study?

II. Univariate analyses - How many participants had what?

III. Bivariate analyses - What is the relation between the outcome and explanatory variables?

IV. Multivariate analyses - What is the result when the confounders and effect modifiers have been taken into account?

**Discussion**

- Discuss major findings. It should not merely be a repetition of results section. Only duplicating data from results section into this heading is NOT allowed
- Avoid unnecessary explanation of someone else work unless it is very relevant to the study. Other studies should be quoted in relation to the findings of the present study.
- Provide and discuss with the literatures to support the study
- Mention about
  a. Limitations of your study (if any)
  b. Confounding factors
  c. Possible implications which are not mentioned in the abstract

_An otherwise very good manuscript but with poor discussion may be rejected for the same reason_

**Conclusion**

**Template for Conclusion, in order of their appearance**

I. What did this study show? Address the aims stated in the Introduction

II. Strengths and weaknesses of methods

III. Discuss how the results support the current literature or refute current knowledge

IV. Future directions “So what?” and “where next?” Impact on current thinking or practice

**Also make note of the following**

V. Give recommendation from your study

**Acknowledgement**

Acknowledge any person or institute who have helped the study
Make acknowledgement short and do not add praise or literature in this section

**References**

Abide by JAIM guideline – Vancouver citation method.

Maximum number of references

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Maximum References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original Article</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Review Article</td>
<td>150</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case Note</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Editorial</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Legends**

Table e.g. (Table 1) and Figure e.g. (Figure 3)

Put tables, charts, and figures at the end of the paper, after references
Brief / Case Report

Title
- Complete title of the article
- Provide also Running title – not more than 50 characters
- Be short, accurate, and unambiguous giving your paper a distinct outlook
- Begin with the subject of the study
- Avoid excessive adjectives and noun strings

Abstracts
The abstract should contain the essence of the whole paper and should stand-alone. Be clear and concise and avoid unnecessary detail.
- Word limit – 150 words
- No abbreviation to be used in abstract
- Non Structured abstract
- Provide - Age and Gender of the Case

Keywords
- Keywords – 3-5 words, separated by semicolons
- Use Keywords from MeSH index – website http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/mesh

Body of Brief/Case Report (excluding references)
- maximum of 1500 words
- maximum of 3 tables/figures

Introduction
- Introduce the case in short and highlight the importance of presenting it as a case report in the journal

Case Report
- Reason for reporting this case
- Avoid waste words
- The report should detail: what happened to the patient, the time course of events, why the particular management was chosen

Discussion
Provide and discuss latest literatures about your case report

Series Report
- Use the same guideline as mentioned for Case Report

Audits
Audit section may have similar sections like an original research article, i.e. abstract, introduction, methods, results, discussion, acknowledgements, references. Here, we publish papers that tend to give an audit of case records in one or more healthcare setting(s). The findings of audit articles provide the readers with a profile, or composition of cases occurring in wards or departments of the facility only.

If some study is done on a large scale enough which contributes to scientific literature reflecting important findings or contribution related to the country or some large geographic area, can then be better placed in an original research/ study section rather than an audit.

The decision as to whether a supposed original research article belongs to original research article itself or is published under the section of audit finally relies on the editorial board.

Review Articles
Review article must incorporate various aspects of the topic chosen, and should also incorporate latest researches and findings. It should not merely be a collection of quotes from textbooks or very old articles of journals that does not contribute anything new to the scientific literature base already available.

Ideal Contents of a review:
• What is the problem?
• Historical background
• Basic science
• Methodology
• Human studies
• Discussion
• Conclusions
• Recommendations
• The future

The ideal review should be topical, up to date, balanced, accurate, authoritative, quotable, provocative and a good read.

Body of Review Article (excluding references)
- maximum of 3000 words
- maximum of 5 tables/figures

Medical Images
Medical images present a striking clinical image that is meant to challenge and inform readers. The answer is presented on a separate section of the Journal and should be no more than 350 words. The section is intended to illustrate and teach important medical points. The answer should discuss the image findings and the diagnosis in no more than one double-spaced typed page.
The diagnosis and discussion should make an important medical teaching point and include up to three pertinent references. Information regarding the specific patient in terms of follow-up and response to therapy should be given as appropriate. No more than three authors are allowed on each submission.

**Specially invited articles**

Articles which are specially invited in JAIM from the editorial board may fall among any one of the types mentioned above and should follow the guidelines as above just the same.

**Fillers**

Authors may submit some quotes, illustrations and similar filling materials for the journal, which will be considered for inclusion in both print and online version of JAIM and its source acknowledged. These contributions however do not fall under types of articles to be indexed for scientific purposes.

**Letter to the Editor**

Letter to the Editor will be accepted or edited and published at the Editor’s discretion. The author must give a full reference of the article published in JAIM while writing the letter to which he is referring. While writing be succinct (approximately 325 words) and address one or two major subjects regarding the article. Letters that, in the Editor’s view, require a response from the authors of the article will be held pending notification of the authors, who will have fifteen days to respond. On receipt of an author’s response, the letter and the author response will be published in the journal and will also be posted in the web. If we do not receive an author response within fifteen business days, the letter will be published with a note stating, “The author has been invited to respond and has not done so.”

**General instructions to authors**

- The decision of the editor will be final for modification or rejection. However, the author may withdraw his/her manuscript prior to publication when given a chance by the editor (e.g. after modification).
- If the authorship of a paper is changed in any way after it has been reviewed, the Editor will require a letter, signed by all authors named on the original or on the revised submission, explicitly agreeing to the change.
- Additional prints/ republication/translation:
  - As JAIM is an Open Access Journal and we post all the articles published in the web in PDF format, the author may print additional copies. However, for doing so the author has an obligation to inform JAIM. This will also help us in tracking reprinted articles. However, if the quantity of reprints required is more than 50 or in a commercial basis, prior written permission is required by the author from the Chief Editor of JAIM.
  - For republication of the article in another journal, the author must seek prior permission from JAIM, clearly stating the purpose and request for permission to print again.
  - For translation of the article and publication in another language (in another journal), the author must seek prior permission from JAIM along with the purpose and request for permission to print again. Both the above mentioned points require to be compliant with ICMJE guidelines for reprints and translation.
- The submitted manuscript should actually represent the scientific work done and the data should not be manipulated for whatsoever purpose.
- Any irregularities, misinformation, or manipulation of the data tending to skew the conclusion towards the side that does not represent the actual finding, if found, can result in the manuscript to be rejected or retracted (if already published). JAIM may proceed with legal actions if needed in appropriate cases.
- If authors of a published article subsequently become aware of a significant error in it, they should send a notifying letter to the editor of JAIM as soon as possible. If the mistake is judged significant enough to warrant a published correction, the correction will be made as an “erratum” if the fault is JAIM’s; “correction” if the fault is the author’s.

**Color online**

We are usually able to substitute color versions of illustrations in the online journal at no cost. Authors wishing to take advantage of this facility are asked to submit a high quality color image file for producing it electronically during their initial submission.

JAIM reserves the right whether or not to accept color work that is not submitted in the format described above.

**Supplementary online material**

Authors wishing to include additional material supporting a paper for which there is no space in the printed journal, may wish to have this made available online with the paper on the JAIM website. These supplementary materials must be submitted with the original manuscript and will be shown to the reviewers and the editors.

This allows papers to have greater depth, online enhancements, such as video clips and additional data sets, making them more useful to fellow specialists in the field who require detail, without distracting more general readers.

Authors should ensure that supplementary information is supplied in its FINAL format because it is not subedited and will appear online exactly as submitted. It cannot be altered, nor can new supplementary information be added, after the paper has been accepted for publication.

JAIM is willing to consider publishing supplements to regular issues. Supplement proposals may be made at the request of: chief editor

The journal editor, an editorial board member or a learned society may wish to organize a meeting, sponsorship may be sought and the proceedings published as a supplement.

The journal editor, editorial board member or learned society may wish to commission a supplement on a particular theme or topic. Again, sponsorship may be sought.

JAIM itself may have proposals for supplements where sponsorship may be necessary.

A sponsoring organization, often a pharmaceutical company or a charitable foundation, that wishes to arrange a meeting, the proceedings of which will be published as a supplement.

In all cases, it is vital that the journal’s integrity, independence and academic reputation is not compromised in any way.
CHECKLIST

(Please make sure that you have addressed all the points mentioned in the checklist)

☐ Cover letter
  • Title of the manuscript
  • Short - Running Title
  • Abstract
  • Total Words Count on Abstract
  • Total Words Count on Manuscript (excluding the reference section)
  • Information of any disclaimers or funding bodies
  • Corresponding Author - Full names Email Address
    Qualification Affiliated Department
    Addresses of institute affiliated (street, city, country)

☐ Authorship Statement Form
Authorship page should carry in sequence information on primary author, corresponding author, and other authors, with authors’ full names, qualifications, affiliations, departments, email and addresses of institute affiliated (street, city, country). Also mention, authorship contribution of each author and their signatures.

☐ JAIM Declaration Form (Completely filled)
Declaration page must be scanned and sent with signature of either Corresponding Author, or Primary Author

☐ Manuscript file
  • Title of the manuscript
  • Short - Running Title
  • Abstract
  • Manuscript Text
  • References
  • Tables/Chart (not in image/picture format)
    - Do Not embed figure/Image on this file
    - Do Not include any author information

☐ Supporting File/s
Include individual Image/Picture files (jpg, tiff) - with minimum 300dpi pixel count and 800x600 size.

☐ Ethical Approval Letter
MUST INCLUDE - Ethical Approval Letter from respective IRC- (scanned)
Citation and Referencing

Journal of Advances in Internal Medicine (JAIM) uses modified Vancouver Style in all it’s assessment tasks.

Using Vancouver Style

Vancouver Style uses in-text citations and a Reference List at the end of your document. A citation is an acknowledgement in your text of references that support your work. It is in the form of a number that correlates with a source in your reference list.

Citations

In the Vancouver Style, Arabic numbers in superscript identifies citations within the text of the essay/paper. This applies to references in text, tables and figures.

- Citations within the text of your paper are identified with a superscript number.
  - example - Giri\(^1\) has argued that...

- References are numbered consecutively in the order they are first used in the text. The full citations will be included in the Reference List at the end of your document, with matching numbers identifying each reference.

- When multiple references are cited together, use a hyphen to indicate a series of inclusive numbers. Use commas to indicate a series of non-inclusive numbers. A citation with these references (4,5,6,7,14,19) is abbreviated to (4-7,14,19).
  - example - Several clinical trials\(^4-6,9\) show...

- The original number used for a reference is reused each time the reference is cited.
  - example - “...the theory was first put forward by Giri\(^7\) in 1999, but there was disagreement\(^3,5,8\) over its importance.”

- The placement of citation numbers within text should be carefully considered e.g. a particular reference may be relevant to only part of a sentence. As a general rule, reference numbers should be placed outside full stops and commas and inside colons and semicolons;
  - example - ...a new definition\(^11,11-1\) ...this option is preferred\(^11;\)

- Citing a specific page:
  - example - Patients showed no signs of diabetes\(^1,2,3,9\)
  - example - Kailash\(^9,10,11,12\) reported no sign of... (more than one page cited)

Reference List

- A reference list contains details only of those works cited in the text of the document. (eg. book, journal article, pamphlet, internet site, cassette tape or film). These details must include sufficient detail so that others may locate and consult your references.

- A reference list should appear at the end of your article/report with the entries listed numerically and in the same order that they have been cited in the text.

- Sources cited from the Internet should be in your reference list.

Abbreviations

These are commonly used abbreviations to write in reference list

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>c.</td>
<td>circa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ed.</td>
<td>edition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fig; figs</td>
<td>figure(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pt.</td>
<td>page(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pts.</td>
<td>part(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppl</td>
<td>Supplement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ch.</td>
<td>Chapter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ill</td>
<td>illustrator(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ills</td>
<td>illustrators(s)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rev</td>
<td>revised</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>para</td>
<td>paragraph(s)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Journal articles can be accessed in three different ways:
(1) from the print (paper) copy;
(2) from the journal’s website; or
(3) from an online article database like Medline.

You will cite the article differently depending on how you accessed it. Vancouver Style of referencing does not use the full journal name. It uses commonly-used abbreviation journal titles. Abbreviation can be found from:

PubMed Journals Database website:

• Only first words of article title and words that normally begin with a capital letter are capitalized.
• First 3 authors are listed; thereafter add an et al. after the third author.
• If the journal has continuous page numbering, you may omit month/issue number.
• Remember that, reference to a journal article will need:
  1. The year when the journal was published.
  2. The volume number.
  3. Perhaps a part number - Volumes may be published in several parts. Generally in the Vancouver style you can omit the part number unless each part of the journal starts numbering pages at page 1 or the reference is from a supplement.
  4. The page numbers of the article itself. If the article is on pages 11-15, in the Vancouver style you can abbreviate this to 11-5.

Examples

Journal Article in Print

Journal Article from a Website

Journal Article from an Online Database

Journal Article in Press

Can include date, volume and issue number if provide; Add manually – “Forthcoming” and year and date if provided. “Forthcoming” is used instead of “in press”

Journal Articles with Corporate authors

Journal Articles with no author given

Other examples of Journals with parts and/or supplements

Cochrane Library Review
Entered cited date manually and change the medium to “Internet”

Electronic article – with DOI number
Add DOI number manually

Other Examples

Journal with supplement

Issue with Supplement
Semin Oncol. 1996;23(Suppl. 2):89-97.

Volume with part

Issue with part

Issues with no volume

No Issues or volume
Books

Standard Format for Books
Author Surname Initials. Title: subtitle. Edition (if not the first). Place of publication: Publisher; Year.

Reference to a book will need:
1. The edition number, Arabic number
   followed by nonsuperscript denotations eg. 11th ed.
2. Begin a reference to a contribution with information about the
   contribution, followed by the word “In:” and
   information about the book itself.
3. Begin a reference to a part of a book with the book itself,
   then follow it with information about the part.

Examples

Book with One Author or Editor

Two-Three Authors/Editors

More than Three Authors/Editors

Organization as Author

No Author/Editor

Chapter in a book

E-book

Different Editions

Edited book

Book in a series

2.1. Government Document
Author(s). Title of report. Place of publication: Publisher; Date of publication – year month if applicable. Total number of pages if applicable eg. 24 p. Report No.: (if applicable)

2.2. Elements of the citation for a thesis
Printed Thesis
Author. Thesis title [type of thesis]. Place of publication: Publisher; Year.

Online Thesis
Author. Thesis title [type of thesis on the internet]. Place of publication: Publisher; Year [cited date – year month day]. Available from: Name of database/web address
**Internet - web articles**  
**Standard Format for website**  
Author Surname Initials (if available). Title of Website [Internet]. Place of publication: Publisher; Date of First Publication [Date of last update; cited date]. Available from: URL

**Publication Information Online**  
- Publication information is often unavailable on websites and is not standardized like books or journals. Vancouver Style requires the “Place of Publication”, the “Publisher” and the “Original Publication Date” as part of the citation. If these pieces of information are not given, use: [place unknown], [publisher unknown] or [date unknown].  
- Add in square brackets the updated & cited dates or add all the information into the cited year field and edit to suit  
- If neither a date of publication nor a date of copyright can be found for a Web site, use the date of update/revision and/or the date cited

**Note**: JAIM requires additional pieces of information when citing resources: Short URLs (also referred to as ‘tiny’) are recommended.

**Examples**  
**Website with Author**  

**Website without Author**  

**Part / Article within a Website**  

**Blog**  

**An Entry / Article within a Blog**  

**Image on the Internet**  

**Podcasts**  

**Other Resources**  
**Newspaper Articles**  
Author(s) – family name and initials. Article title. Newspaper title (edition of paper eg. Weekend edition). Date of publication – year month (3 letter abbreviation) day: Sect. Location eg. A:12 or Business 5 (5 is the page number) column number is applicable eg. col. 1) (Sect = Section)

Like journals, newspapers are cited differently depending on how the article was accessed. Include a working “permanent link” to any article accessed online.


34. Fayerman P. Women must now wait to 40 for publicly paid amnio test. Vancouver Sun. 2009 Jun 9; Sect. A:5.

**Video Recordings**  


**Lecture notes**  
Lecturer. Title of Lecture [unpublished lecture notes]. Course code: course name, Institution where delivered; lecture given date.

Encyclopedia, Dictionary, or Similar Reference Book

Entries in reference books are either signed or unsigned. The author will be listed at the start or end of the individual entry. An editor(s) will usually be listed at the front of the book but the editor is not included in the citation for reference works.

Unsigned

Signed (and Online)

Conferences

Author(s) of paper – Family name and initials. Title of paper. In: Editor(s) Family name and initials, editor(s). Title of conference; Date of conference; Place of conference. Place of publication: Publisher’s name; Year of publication. p. Page numbers.

A whole conference

A conference paper

Personal Communications

Personal communication (with the exception of email) should not be included in the Reference List, as they are unpublished and cannot be easily traced by the reader. Instead, acknowledge personal conversations and letters within the text in parentheses.

Permission

When citing any personal communication, you must have written permission from the cited person(s) to use that communication. Acknowledge the permission in a footnote or in a "Notes" section at the end of the text.

Conversation
42. “...in conversation with a fellow student from the Dental Hygiene program (Affleck, Ben. Conversation with: Matt Damon. 2008 Sep 07.).”

Personal Letter
43. “...this information was later confirmed in a letter (Hepburn, Katherine. Letter to: Spencer Tracy. 2005 Mar 03. 4 pages.).”

Email

Email correspondence is included in the Reference List as emails are easily traceable and dated.


Points to Remember

1. Journal name abbreviation to be used - using Index Medicus guidelines

2. When you are writing your paper, always quote the science and not the scientist.

Although the names of researchers are quoted extensively in the behavioral sciences, they do not need to be quoted in clinical research. When you cite the work of other researchers, you need to compare your results with their results or say what they found. You should do this without using the authors’ names in the text.

Rather than writing
Smith et al. found that the prevalence of diabetes in 1998 .... it is much more informative to write,
In a cross-sectional study conducted in 1998, the prevalence of diabetes was 8%

3. When you are citing the literature in a paper, always use conservative phrases

Never say - It is widely believed that ... when you have few recent references to back up the claim, or Much recent interest has centered around ... when few people have published on the topic in recent years

4. Similarly, phrases such as It has long been known that ... or It is generally believed that ... are best avoided

5. All electronic references must give the same information as for a printed source but, because web content and addresses frequently change, web citations must include the retrieval date
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